



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/486,334	07/18/2000	MICHEL DROUX	PH-98/080	6869

7590                    09/19/2002  
CONNOLLY BOVE LODGE & HUTZ  
1220 MARKET STREET  
P O BOX 2207  
WILMINGTON, DE 19899-2207

[REDACTED]  
EXAMINER  
KUBELIK, ANNE R

[REDACTED]  
ART UNIT                  PAPER NUMBER  
1638

DATE MAILED: 09/19/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	09/486,334	DROUX ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	Anne R. Kubelik	1638

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Anne R. Kubelik. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.  
 (2) Liza D. Hohenschutz. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 11 September 2002.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference  
 c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.  
 If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 60.

Identification of prior art discussed: Noji et al and Saito et al.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview(if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant asked if "overexpressing" was being interpreted to mean both an increase in enzyme activity and transformation with a gene encoding the enzyme, and was told that was the case. It was suggested that claim 60 be amended to make the method step be one of transforming a plant with a nucleic acid encoding a SAT and that in the response to the Final Rejection Applicant should argue why Applicant thinks Saito et al does not suggest said transformation. .

32